## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

As of: November 09, 2010 Received: November 03, 2010

Status: Posted

Posted: November 08, 2010 Tracking No. 80b80183

Comments Due: November 08, 2010

Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736

Draft Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load

Comment On: EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0001

Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Notice for the Public Review of the Draft Total Maximum Daily Load

(TMDL) for the Chesapeake Bay

**Document:** EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0336

Comment submitted by John Napolitano, Napolitano Enterprise

## **Submitter Information**

Submitter's Representative: John Napolitano

Organization: Napolitano Enterprise

## **General Comment**

While I do not think anyone would diagree with improving the quality of the bay I do feel that the EPA is going overboard for thefollowing reasons.

- 1. We are in the worse economic shape this country has faced since the great depressoin and yet the EPA is going to introduce an unprecedented and unfunded federal mandate. This will not help the effected states recover econimically.
- 2. Surely the EPA can slow down and find a ballance between the econonic impact of the TMDL and the immediate clean up needs of the bay.
- 3. Virginia has already spent a enormous amount of money and has made tremendous progress in reducing nitrogen, phosphorous, and sediment since its 1985 levels.
- 4. I believe there are proven difficiencies in the Bay Model that will change the polutant loadings for the better.
- 5. I do not beleive that the EPA is allowing enough time for comment on this very complicated process. 45 days is unreasondable.
- 6. The backstop provisions I think are unlawful. How does the EPA think they have the authority to mandate to a private property owner that he/she retro thier property to meet new standards.